

Exponential manifold on the Gaussian space:
Orlicz-Sobolev spaces

Giovanni Pistone
www.giannidiorestino.it



DE CASTRO
STATISTICS

Collegio Carlo Alberto

June 21, 2018

Abstract

Given a Gaussian space (\mathbb{R}^n, γ) , consider the set \mathcal{M} of positive densities p which are connected to the unit density by an open Hellinger arc. The elements of \mathcal{M} are precisely the densities of the form $e^{u-K(u)}$ where $E_\gamma(u) = 0$, $K(u)$ is a normalising constant, and u belongs to the exponential Orlicz space with weight γ . \mathcal{M} is a manifold for an affine atlas of charts. The Gaussian assumption provides the exponential manifold with special features. Applications include the study of Boltzmann equation and the study the a gradient flow to the distribution with minimal Wasserstein distance.

Here, we discuss the manifold of smooth densities by taking as model space for the exponential manifold the Orlicz-Sobolev space with Gaussian weight γ . Statistical applications involving smooth densities are: Hyvärinen divergence and the finite-dimensional projection of solution of evolution equations for densities.

- G. Pistone. Nonparametric information geometry. In F. Nielsen and F. Barbaresco, editors, *Geometric science of information*, volume 8085 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 5–36. Springer, Heidelberg, 2013. First International Conference, GSI 2013 Paris, France, August 28–30, 2013 Proceedings
- B. Lods and G. Pistone. Information geometry formalism for the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation. *Entropy*, 17(6):4323–4363, 2015
- G. Pistone. Information geometry of the Gaussian space. arXiv:1803.08135, 2018

Example: scoring rule

- On the statistical model \mathcal{M} of positive densities on a measure space (X, \mathcal{X}, μ) , a **local scoring rule** is a mapping $S: \mathcal{M} \ni q \mapsto S(\cdot, q)$ with values in random variables. The qualification “local” means that the scoring rule depends on the sample point x only.
- The **risk** under a positive density $p \in \mathcal{P}$ is $d(p, q) = \mathbb{E}_p[S(q)]$. Notice that we assume that the expected value is defined for each couple $p, q \in \mathcal{M}$.
- The scoring rule is **proper** if $q \mapsto d(p, q)$ is minimized at $q = p$ only that is, $d(p, q) \geq d(p, p)$ and $d(p, q) = d(p, p)$ implies $q = p$.
- There is a **sampling version** of the objective function, $\hat{d}(q) = \sum_{j=1}^N S(X_j, q)$ with (X_j) IID p , and $\hat{q} = \operatorname{argmin} \hat{d}(q)$ is an estimator of p e.g., $S(x, q) = -\log q(x)$.
- The **divergence** associate to S is $D(p, q) = d(p, q) - d(p, p)$ and minimization of $q \mapsto D(p, q)$ is equivalent to the minimization of $q \mapsto d(p, q)$. However, $D(p, q)$ has no sampling version.
- A. Hyvärinen. Estimation of non-normalized statistical models by score matching. *J. Mach. Learn. Res.*, 6:695–709, 2005
- M. Parry, A. P. Dawid, and S. Lauritzen. Proper local scoring rules. *Ann. Statist.*, 40(1):561–592, 2012

Example: Hyvärinen divergence I

- Let us assume now that the sample space is the n -dimensional real space and each density q in \mathcal{M} is strictly positive and such that $\partial_j \log q = \partial_j q / q$ is square integrable for each $p \in \mathcal{M}$.
- The **Hyvärinen divergence** is

$$\text{DH}(p|q) = \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla \log p(x) - \nabla \log q(x)|^2 p(x) dx < \infty$$

- By expanding the squared norm of the difference, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla \log p(x)|^2 p(x) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla \log q(x)|^2 p(x) dx - \\ \int \nabla \log p(x) \cdot \nabla \log q(x) p(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where the first term does not depend on q .

Example: Hyvärinen divergence II

- If $\nabla \log p = \nabla p/p$ and the border terms in the integration by parts are zero

$$\begin{aligned} - \int \nabla \log p(x) \cdot \nabla \log q(x) p(x) dx = \\ - \int \nabla p(x) \cdot \nabla \log q(x) dx = \int \Delta \log q(x) p(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

- The **Hyvärinen score** is

$$S_H(q) = \Delta \log q(x) + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \log q(x)|^2 .$$

- Minimization of the expected Hyvärinen score is the same as minimization of the Hyvärinen divergence.
- All assumptions made are satisfied if \mathcal{M} is the multivariate Gaussian model. This provides an example where a statistical method requires a detailed discussion of the properties of the spatial derivatives of the statistical model.

Example: Hyvärinen divergence III

- On the Gaussian space (\mathbb{R}^n, γ) , $\gamma(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} e^{-|x|^2/2}$, consider the densities of exponential form $p = e^{u-K(u)} \cdot \gamma$. Then, at least formally,

$$\text{DH}(p|q) = \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla u - \nabla v|^2 e^{u-K(u)} \gamma(x) dx$$

In this case, the ∇ operator could be taken in the sense of the analysis of the Gaussian space. But, $\text{DH}(p|q) < \infty$?

- A variation where the integrability issue does not appear consists of the substitution the log function with the Nigel Newton **balanced chart** $\log_A(t) = \int_1^y ds/A(s)$, with $A(t) = s/(1+s)$. A possible definition is then

$$\frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla \log_A p(x) - \nabla \log_A q(x)|^2 A(p(x)) dx$$

where the cancellation holds and $A \circ p$ is bounded.

- P. Malliavin. *Integration and probability*, volume 157 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 1995. With the collaboration of Hlne Airault, Leslie Kay and Gard Letac, Edited and translated from the French by Kay, With a foreword by Mark Pinsky
- N. J. Newton. An infinite-dimensional statistical manifold modelled on Hilbert space. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 263(6):1661–1681, 2012

IG is the geometry of the statistical bundle

- In a typical statistical set up, we have a set of positive densities \mathcal{M} and a set of random variables B . We need the smoothness of a given map $\mathcal{M} \times B \ni (q, S) \mapsto F(q, S) \in \mathbb{R}$.
- A natural structure consists of endowing the model \mathcal{M} with a differentiable atlas of charts and take as B a set of linear fibers on the manifold.
- Let be given an atlas on \mathcal{M} . A **statistical bundle** on \mathcal{M} is

$$T\mathcal{M} = \{(p, u) | p \in \mathcal{M}, u \in B_p, \mathbb{E}_p[u] = 0\}$$

- Moreover, each fiber B_p is to be an expression in the atlas of the tangent space at p , $T_p\mathcal{M} \equiv B_p$. This last requirement is not trivial. For example, in general $L_0^2(p) \neq L_0^2(q)$.
- P. Gibilisco and G. Pistone. Connections on non-parametric statistical manifolds by Orlicz space geometry. *IDAQP*, 1(2):325–347, 1998

Orlicz model space

- If $\phi(y) = \cosh y - 1$, the Orlicz Φ -space $L^\Phi(p)$ is the vector space of all random variables u such that $\mathbb{E}_p[\Phi(\alpha U)]$ is finite for some $\alpha > 0$.
- $u \in L^{(\cosh - 1)}(p)$ if, and only if, the moment generating function $\alpha \mapsto \mathbb{E}_p[e^{\alpha u}]$ is finite in around 0 that is, $L^{(\cosh - 1)}(p)$ is the space of sufficient statistics u in the **exponential family** $\theta \mapsto p_\theta \propto e^{\theta u} \cdot p$.
- $L^{(\cosh - 1)}(p)$ is a Banach space. The set

$$\left\{ u \in L^{(\cosh - 1)}(p) \mid \mathbb{E}_p[(\cosh - 1)(u)] \leq 1 \right\}$$

is the closed unit ball.

- If $(\cosh - 1)_*$ is the convex conjugate of $(\cosh - 1)$ we can define the Orlicz space $L^{(\cosh - 1)_*}(p)$. The **exponential space** $L^{(\cosh - 1)}(p)$ is the dual of the **mixture space** $L^{(\cosh - 1)_*}(p)$ in the duality $(u, f) \mapsto \mathbb{E}_p[uf]$.

Maximal exponential family

- We define $B_p = \{u \in L^{(\cosh^{-1})}(p) \mid \mathbb{E}_p[u] = 0\}$
- For each $p \in \mathcal{P}_>$, the moment generating functional is the positive lower-semi-continuous convex function $G_p: B_p \ni u \mapsto \mathbb{E}_p[e^u]$.
- The cumulant generating functional is the non-negative lower-semi-continuous convex function $K_p = \log G_p$.
- The interior of the common proper domain $\{u \mid G_p(u) < +\infty\}^\circ = \{u \mid K_p(u) < \infty\}^\circ$ is an open convex set \mathcal{S}_p containing the open unit ball (for the norm of the Orlicz space B_p).
- For each $p \in \mathcal{P}_>$, the **maximal exponential family** at p is

$$\mathcal{E}(p) = \left\{ e^{u - K_p(u)} \cdot p \mid u \in \mathcal{S}_p \right\}.$$

Portmanteau theorem

If $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_>$ we write $p \smile q$ if p and q are connected by an **open exponential arc**. It is an equivalence relation.

The following statements are **equivalent**:

1. $q \in \mathcal{E}(p)$;
2. $p \smile q$;
3. $\mathcal{E}(p) = \mathcal{E}(q)$;
4. $L^{(\cosh-1)}(p) = L^{(\cosh-1)}(q)$;
5. $\log\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) \in L^{(\cosh-1)}(p) \cap L^{(\cosh-1)}(q)$.
6. $\frac{q}{p} \in L^{1+\epsilon}(p)$ and $\frac{p}{q} \in L^{1+\epsilon}(q)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$.

Because of Item 4, all B_q , $q \in \mathcal{E}(p)$, are isomorphic under the mapping ${}^e\mathbb{U}_p^q u = u - \mathbb{E}_q[u]$.

- A. Cena and G. Pistone. Exponential statistical manifold. *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.*, 59(1):27–56, 2007
- M. Santacroce, P. Siri, and B. Trivellato. New results on mixture and exponential models by Orlicz spaces. *Bernoulli*, 22(3):1431–1447, 2016

e-charts

- For each $p \in \mathcal{E}$, consider the chart $s_p: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow B_p$

$$s_p(q) = \log \left(\frac{q}{p} \right) - \mathbb{E}_p \left[\log \left(\frac{q}{p} \right) \right]$$

- The inverse of each chart e_p is

$$e_p = s_p^{-1}: \mathcal{S}_p \ni u \mapsto e^{u - K_p(u)} \cdot p$$

- $\{s_p | p \in \mathcal{P}_>\}$ is an affine atlas on $\mathcal{P}_>$ that defines the **exponential manifold**.
- Each equivalent class of connected densities \mathcal{E} is a connected component of the exponential manifold.
- The information closure of any $\mathcal{E}(p)$ is \mathcal{P}_\geq . The reverse information closure of any $\mathcal{E}(p)$ is $\mathcal{P}_>$.
- D. Imparato and B. Trivellato. Geometry of extended exponential models. In *Algebraic and geometric methods in statistics*, pages 307–326. Cambridge Univ. Press, 2010

Summary

$$\boxed{p \smile q} \implies \begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \mathcal{E}(p) & \xrightarrow{s_p} & \mathcal{S}p & \xrightarrow{I} & B_p & \xrightarrow{I} & L^{(\cosh-1)}(p) \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow s_q \circ s_p^{-1} & & \downarrow d(s_q \circ s_p^{-1}) & & \parallel \\
 \mathcal{E}(q) & \xrightarrow{s_q} & \mathcal{S}q & \xrightarrow{I} & B_q & \xrightarrow{I} & L^{(\cosh-1)}(q)
 \end{array}$$

- If $p \smile q$, then $\mathcal{E}(p) = \mathcal{E}(q)$ and $L^{(\cosh-1)}(p) = L^{(\cosh-1)}(q)$.
- $B_p = \{u \in L^{(\cosh-1)}(p) \mid \mathbb{E}_p[u] = 0\}$
- $\mathcal{S}p \neq \mathcal{S}q$ and $s_q \circ s_p^{-1}: \mathcal{S}p \rightarrow \mathcal{S}q$ is affine

$$s_q \circ s_p^{-1}(u) = u - \mathbb{E}_q[u] + \log\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) - \mathbb{E}_q\left[\log\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)\right]$$

- The tangent application is

$$d(s_q \circ s_p^{-1})(u)[v] = v - \mathbb{E}_{e_p(u)}[v] = e^{\mathbb{U}_p^{e_p(u)}} v$$
 (does not depend on p).

Gaussian space

- The Gaussian maximal exponential manifold is $\mathcal{E}(\gamma)$ with

$$\gamma(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \exp(-|x|^2/2), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

- The relevant Orlicz spaces are the **exponential space** $L^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$ and the **mixture space** $L^{(\cosh-1)*}(\gamma)$.
- The mixture space $L^{(\cosh-1)*}(\gamma)$ is separable; its dual is the exponential space $L^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$.
- A positive density $f \in \mathcal{P}_>$ has finite entropy if, and only if, f belongs to the mixture space

$$-\int f(x) \log f(x) \gamma(x) dx < +\infty \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad f \in L^{(\cosh-1)*}(\gamma) .$$

- $L^\infty(\gamma) \hookrightarrow L^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma) \hookrightarrow L^a(\gamma) \hookrightarrow L^{(\cosh-1)*}(\gamma) \hookrightarrow L^1(\gamma)$, $a > 1$
- Restriction to the ball Ω_R : $L^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma) \rightarrow L^a(\Omega_R)$, $a \geq 1$, and $L^{(\cosh-1)*}(\gamma) \rightarrow L^1(\Omega_R)$.

Notable elements in $L^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$ and $L^{(\cosh-1)*}(\gamma)$ I

- The exponential space $L^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$ contains all polynomials with degree up to 2 and all functions which are bounded by such a polynomial.
- The mixture space $L^{(\cosh-1)*}(\gamma)$ contains all $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which are bounded by a polynomial, in particular, all polynomials.
- **Poincaré inequality** If $u \in \text{Dom}(\nabla)$ in the sense of the Gaussian space i.e., $u, \partial_j u \in L^2(\gamma)$ then

$$\int \left| u(x) - \int u(y) \gamma(y) dy \right|^2 \gamma(x) dx \leq \int \|\nabla u(x)\|^2 \gamma(x) dx$$

- $f \in C_p^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\left\| f - \int f(y) \gamma(y) dy \right\|_{L^{(\cosh-1)*}(\gamma)} \leq \text{const} \|\nabla f\|_{L^{(\cosh-1)*}(\gamma)}$$

In particular, if f is a density of the Gaussian space,

Notable elements in $L^{(\cosh - 1)}(\gamma)$ and $L^{(\cosh - 1)*}(\gamma)$ ||

- $f \in C_p^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\|f - 1\|_{L^{(\cosh - 1)*}(\gamma)} \leq \text{const} \|\|\nabla f\|\|_{L^{(\cosh - 1)*}(\gamma)}$$

This inequality is similar to an bound on the entropy.

- If $f, g \in C_p^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $|x \cdot y| \leq |x|_1 |y|_2$ then

$$|\text{Cov}_\gamma(f, g)| \leq \left| \|\nabla f\|_{L^{(\cosh - 1)*}(\gamma)} \right|_1 \left| \|\nabla g\|_{(L^{(\cosh - 1)*}(\gamma))^*} \right|_2 .$$

If f is a density of the Gaussian space,

$$\text{Cov}_\gamma(f, g) = \int g(x)f(x)\gamma(x) dx - \int g(x)\gamma(x) dx .$$

- I. Nourdin and G. Peccati. *Normal approximations with Malliavin calculus*, volume 192 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012. From Stein's method to universality
- G. Pistone. Information geometry of the Gaussian space. arXiv:1803.08135, 2018

Velocity and scores

- $\mathcal{E}(\gamma) = \{q = u \in \mathcal{S}_\gamma \mid \subset\} L^{(\cosh-1)*}(\gamma)$
- The inverse of the chart $s_\gamma : q \mapsto u$ is the exponential mapping $e_\gamma = s_\gamma^{-1} : \mathcal{S}_\gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\gamma)$, that is, $e_\gamma(u) = q$.
- The exponential mapping e_γ is defined on an open set of $L^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$ and has values in $L^{(\cosh-1)*}(\gamma)$. The chart mapping s_γ is not smooth and induces on $\mathcal{E}(\gamma)$ a special topology.
- The mapping $e_\gamma : \mathcal{S}_\gamma \ni u \mapsto e^{u-K(u)} L^{(\cosh-1)*}(\gamma)$ is **continuously differentiable**, with derivative in the direction $h \in L^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$

$$d_h e_\gamma(u) = e_\gamma(u)(h - \mathbb{E}_{e_\gamma(u)}[h])$$

- If $\theta \mapsto u(\theta)$ is a smooth curve in $L^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$, then $\theta \mapsto p(\theta) = e_\gamma(u(\theta))$ is a smooth curve in $L^{(\cosh-1)*}(\gamma)$ and $\dot{p}(\theta) = p(\theta)(\dot{u}(\theta) - \mathbb{E}_{p(\theta)}[\dot{u}(\theta)])$, that is the **expression of the velocity in the statistical bundle** is

$$Su(\theta) = \dot{u}(\theta) - \mathbb{E}_{p(\theta)}[\dot{u}(\theta)] = \frac{\dot{u}(\theta)}{u(\theta)} = \frac{d}{d\theta} \log p(\theta) \in B_{p(\theta)}$$

Natural gradient

- Given a scalar field $\Phi: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the **natural gradient** is the section of the statistical bundle $\text{grad } \Phi$ such that for all smooth curve $\theta \mapsto p(\theta) = e^{u(\theta) - K(u(\theta))}$ it holds

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \Phi(p(\theta)) = \langle \text{grad } \Phi(p(\theta)), Sp(\theta) \rangle_{p(\theta)}$$

where $\langle f, g \rangle_p = \mathbb{E}_p [fg]$, $f \in L^{(\cosh^{-1})^*}(p)$ and $g \in L^{(\cosh^{-1})}(p)$.

- For example, the natural gradient of the entropy $H(p) = - \int p(x) \log p(x) \gamma(x) dx$ is $\text{grad } H(p) = - \log p - H(p)$.
- The **gradient flow** of Φ is the solution of the equation $Sp(\theta) = \text{grad } \Phi(p(\theta))$. For example, the gradient flow of the entropy is

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \log p(\theta) = - \log p(\theta) + \int p(x) \log p(x) \gamma(x) dx$$

- S.-I. Amari. Natural gradient works efficiently in learning. *Neural Computation*, 10(2):251–276, feb 1998

Transport plan, Wasserstein

- Consider the product Gaussian space $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \gamma \otimes \gamma)$ with projection X and Y . The marginalization mapping

$$\mathcal{E}(\gamma \otimes \gamma) \ni p \mapsto (X_{\#}p, Y_{\#}p) \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma) \times \mathcal{E}(\gamma)$$

has fibers

$$\Gamma(p_1, p_2) = \{p \in \mathcal{E}(\gamma \otimes \gamma) \mid X_{\#}p = p_1, Y_{\#}p = p_2\}$$

which is a sub-manifolds of $\mathcal{E}(\gamma \otimes \gamma)$ called **transport plan**.

- The 2-Wasserstein functional $W(p) = \mathbb{E}_p[|X - Y|^2]$ has natural gradient

$$\text{grad } W(p) = |X - Y|^2 - \mathbb{E}_p[|X - Y|^2]$$

- The restriction and projection of $\text{grad } W$ on the statistical bundle of the sub-manifold of the transport plan $\Gamma(p_1, p_2)$ gives an equation for the gradient flow. The value of the 2-Wasserstein functional along the flow converges to the 2-Wasserstein distance between p_1 and p_2 .

Orlicz-Sobolev with Gaussian weight (GOS)

- The GOS spaces with weight M are the vector spaces

$$W^{1,(\cosh^{-1})}(\gamma) = \left\{ f \in L^{(\cosh^{-1})}(\gamma) \mid \partial_j f \in L^{(\cosh^{-1})}(\gamma), j = 1, \dots, n \right\}$$

$$W^{1,(\cosh^{-1})^*}(\gamma) = \left\{ f \in L^{(\cosh^{-1})^*}(\gamma) \mid \partial_j f \in L^{(\cosh^{-1})^*}(\gamma), j = 1, \dots, n \right\}$$

where ∂_j is the derivative in the sense of distributions.

- Both are Banach spaces with the norm of the graph

$$\|f\|_{W^{1,(\cosh^{-1})}(\gamma)} = \|f\|_{L^{(\cosh^{-1})}(\gamma)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \|\partial_j f\|_{L^{(\cosh^{-1})}(\gamma)}$$

$$\|f\|_{W^{1,(\cosh^{-1})^*}(\gamma)} = \|f\|_{L^{(\cosh^{-1})^*}(\gamma)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \|\partial_j f\|_{L^{(\cosh^{-1})^*}(\gamma)}$$

- J. Musielak. *Orlicz spaces and modular spaces*, volume 1034 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 1983
- B. Lods and G. Pistone. Information geometry formalism for the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation. *Entropy*, 17(6):4323–4363, 2015

Remarks

- As $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ implies $\phi\gamma \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for each $f \in W^{1,(\cosh-1)^*}(\gamma)$ we have

$$\langle \partial_j f, \phi \rangle_\gamma = \langle \partial_j f, \phi\gamma \rangle = -\langle f, \gamma \partial_j \phi - X_j \gamma \phi \rangle = \langle f, (X_j - \partial_j) \phi \rangle_\gamma$$

- The extension of the Stein operator $\delta_j = X_j - \partial_j$ to both $W^{1,(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$ and $W^{1,(\cosh-1)^*}(\gamma)$ is of interest.
- Assume $f \in W^{1,a}(\gamma)$ for all $a \geq 1$. Then $\partial_j f \in L^a(\gamma)$ and

$$\int |x_j f(x)|^a \gamma(x) dx \leq \left(\int |x_j|^{2a} \gamma(x) dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int |u(x)|^{2a} \gamma(x) dx \right)^{1/2}$$

so that $\delta_j: \bigcap_{a \geq 1} W^{1,a}(\gamma) \rightarrow \bigcap_{a \geq 1} W^{1,a}(\gamma)$. In particular, $\delta_j: W^{1,(\cosh-1)^*}(\gamma) \rightarrow \bigcap_{a \geq 1} W^{1,a}(\gamma)$.

- P. Malliavin. *Stochastic analysis*, volume 313 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, 1997

Smoothness of GOS spaces I

- Every $u \in W^{1,(\cosh^{-1})}(\gamma)$ when restricted to an open ball of radius $R > 0$ belongs to the Sobolev space $W^{1,a}(\Omega_R)$ for all $a \geq 1$ i.e. $u_R \in \cap_{a \geq 1} W^{1,a}(\Omega_R)$.
- Every $f \in W^{1,(\cosh^{-1})^*}(\gamma)$ when restricted to an open ball of radius $R > 0$ belongs to the dual of the space $\cap_{a \geq 1} W^{1,a}(\Omega_R)$, in particular to $W^{1,1}(\Omega_R)$.
- **Sobolev** Each $u \in W^{1,(\cosh^{-1})}(\gamma)$ is a.s. continuous and Hölder of all orders on each $\bar{\Omega}_R$.
- If $u \in W^{1,(\cosh^{-1})}(\gamma)$, then $u, \partial_j u \in L^a(\gamma)$ for all $a \geq 1$ i.e.,

$$e^{-\frac{1}{2a}|X|^2} u, e^{-\frac{1}{2a}|X|^2} \partial_j u \in L^a(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

As

$$\partial_j e^{-\frac{1}{2a}|X|^2} u = -\frac{1}{a} x_j e^{-\frac{1}{2a}|X|^2} u + e^{-\frac{1}{2a}|X|^2} \partial_j u$$

it follows

$$\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2a}|X|^2} u \right) \in W^{1,a}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad a \geq 1$$

Smoothness of GOS spaces II

- **Morrey** Because of

$$W^{1,(\cosh-1)}(\gamma) \ni u \mapsto \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2a}|x|^2} u \right) \in W^{1,a}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad a \geq 1$$

it holds for each $a > n$ the **uniform bound**

$$u \in W^{1,(\cosh-1)}(\gamma) \Rightarrow e^{-\frac{1}{2a}|x|^2} |u(x)| \leq C(n, a) \left\| e^{-\frac{1}{2a}|x|^2} u \right\|_{W^{1,a}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

and the RHS is dominated by $\|u\|_{W^{1,(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)}$.

- The same assumption implies the **global Hölder inequality**

$$e^{-\frac{1}{2a}|x|^2} u(x) - e^{-\frac{1}{2a}|y|^2} u(y) \leq C(n, a) |x - y|^{1-n/a} \left\| e^{\frac{1}{2a}|x|^2} u \right\|_{L^a(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(n, a) |x - y|^{1-n/a} \|u\|_{W^{1,(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)}$$

- The previous inequalities are not optimal!

Smoothness of GOS spaces III

- **Remark** We expect the space $W^{\infty, \cosh^{-1}}(\gamma)$ of functions whose derivatives of all order belong to $L^{(\cosh^{-1})}(\gamma)$ to have infinitely differentiable elements. This provides an interesting class of random variables on the Gaussian space defined only by the differentiability and the integrability condition.
- If Φ is a diffeomorphism of \mathbb{R}^n , then

$$\Phi_* \gamma(x) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(|\Phi^{-1}(x)|^2 - |x|^2\right)\right) |\det(J\Phi^{-1}(x))| \gamma(x)$$

and it would be interesting to have

$$-\frac{1}{2}\left(|\Phi^{-1}|^2 - |X|^2\right) - \log |\det(J\Phi^{-1})| \in W^{\infty, \cosh^{-1}}(\gamma)$$

in order to connect with the literature on the geometry of densities induced by the geometry of the group of diffeomorphisms.

- R. A. Adams and J. J. F. Fournier. *Sobolev spaces*, volume 140 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics (Amsterdam)*. Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, second edition, 2003
- H. Brezis. *Functional analysis, Sobolev spaces and partial differential equations*. Universitext. Springer, New York, 2011

Exponential family modeled on $W^{1,(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$

- If we restrict the exponential family $\mathcal{E}(\gamma)$ to $W^{1,(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$,

$$W_\gamma = W^{1,(\cosh-1)}(\gamma) \cap B_\gamma = \left\{ u \in W^{1,(\cosh-1)}(\gamma) \mid \mathbb{E}_\gamma[u] = 0 \right\}$$

we obtain the non-parametric exponential family

$$\mathcal{E}_1(\gamma) = \left\{ e^{u-K(u)} \cdot \gamma \mid u \in W^{1,(\cosh-1)}(\gamma) \cap \mathcal{S}_\gamma \right\}$$

- Because of $W^{1,(\cosh-1)}(\gamma) \hookrightarrow L^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$ the set $W^{1,(\cosh-1)}(\gamma) \cap \mathcal{S}_\gamma$ is open in W_γ and the cumulant functional $K : W^{1,(\cosh-1)}(\gamma) \cap \mathcal{S}_\gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex and differentiable.
- Many features of the exponential manifold carry over to this case. In particular, we can define for each $f \in \mathcal{E}_1(\gamma)$ the space

$$W_f = W^{1,(\cosh-1)}(\gamma) \cap B_\gamma = \left\{ u \in W^{1,(\cosh-1)}(\gamma) \mid \mathbb{E}_f[u] = 0 \right\}$$

to be models for the tangent spaces of $\mathcal{E}_1(\gamma)$. The e-transport acts on these spaces, ${}^e\mathbb{U}_f^g : W_f \ni u \mapsto u - \mathbb{E}_g[u] \in W_g$, so that we can define the statistical bundle to be

$$S\mathcal{E}_1(\gamma) = \{(g, v) \mid g \in \mathcal{E}_1(\gamma), v \in W_f\}$$

and take as charts the restrictions of the charts defined on $S\mathcal{E}(\gamma)$.

Calculus on $W^{1,(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$ — I

- The **exponential class**, $C_0^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$, is the closure of $C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in the exponential space $L^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$. The space $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $C_0^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$.
- Assume $f \in L^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$ and write $f_R(x) = f(x)(|x| > R)$. The following conditions are equivalent:
 1. The real function $\rho \mapsto \int (\cosh-1)(\rho f(x))\gamma(x) dx$ is finite for all $\rho > 0$;
 2. $f \in C_0^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$;
 3. $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \|f_R\|_{L^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)} = 0$.
- **Translation by a vector**
 1. For each $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the translation mapping $L^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma) \ni f \mapsto \tau_h f$ is linear and bounded from $L^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$ to itself. In particular,

$$\|\tau_h f\|_{L^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)} \leq 2 \|f\|_{L^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)} \quad \text{if} \quad |h| \leq \sqrt{\log 2}.$$

Calculus on $W^{1,(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$ — II

2. For all $g \in L^{(\cosh-1)*}(\gamma)$ we have

$$\langle \tau_h f, g \rangle_\gamma = \langle f, \tau_h^* g \rangle_\gamma, \quad \tau_h^* g(x) = e^{-h \cdot x - \frac{1}{2}|h|^2} \tau_{-h} g(x),$$

and $|h| \leq \sqrt{\log 2}$ implies $\|\tau_h^* g\|_{L^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)^*} \leq 2 \|g\|_{L^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)^*}$.

The translation mapping $h \mapsto \tau_h^* g$ is continuous in $L^{(\cosh-1)*}(\gamma)$.

3. If $f \in C_0^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$ then $\tau_h f \in C_0^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$, $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and the mapping $\mathbb{R}^n: h \mapsto \tau_h f$ is continuous in $L^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$.

- **Continuity and directional derivative**

1. For each $v \in W^{1,(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$, each unit vector h , and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, it holds

$$v(x + th) - v(x) = t \int_0^1 \nabla v(x + sth) \cdot h \, ds.$$

Moreover, $|t| \leq \sqrt{2}$ implies

$$\|v(x + th) - v(x)\|_{L^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)} \leq 2t \|\nabla v\|_{L^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)},$$

Calculus on $W^{1,(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$ — III

especially, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|v(x + th) - v(x)\|_{L^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)} = 0$ uniformly in h .

2. For each $v \in W^{1,(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$ the mapping $h \mapsto \tau_h v$ is differentiable from \mathbb{R}^n to $L^{\infty-0}(M)$ with gradient ∇v at $h = 0$.
 3. For each $v \in W^{1,(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$ and each $f \in L^{(\cosh-1)*}(\gamma)$, the mapping $h \mapsto \langle \tau_h v, f \rangle_\gamma$ is differentiable with derivative $\langle \tau_h \nabla v \cdot h, f \rangle_\gamma$.
 4. If $\partial_j v \in C_0^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, then strong differentiability in $L^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$ holds.
- Calculus in $C_0^{1,(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$
 1. For each $f \in C_0^{1,(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$ the sequence $f * \omega_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, belongs to $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap W^{1,(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$. Precisely, for each n and $j = 1, \dots, n$, we have the equality $\partial_j(f * \omega_n) = (\partial_j f) * \omega_n$; the sequences $f * \omega_n$, respectively $\partial_j f * \omega_n$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, converge to f , respectively $\partial_j f$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, strongly in $L^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$.
 2. Same statement is true if $f \in W^{1,(\cosh-1)*}(\gamma)$.

Calculus on $W^{1,(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$ — IV

3. Let be given $f \in C_0^{1,(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$ and $g \in W^{1,(\cosh-1)*}(\gamma)$.
Then $fg \in W^{1,1}(\gamma)$ and $\partial_j(fg) = \partial_j f g + f \partial_j g$.
4. Let be given $F \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ with $\|F'\|_\infty < \infty$. For each $u \in C_0^{1,(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$, we have $F \circ u, F' \circ u \partial_j u \in C_0^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$ and $\partial_j F \circ u = F' \circ u \partial_j u$, in particular $F(u) \in C_0^{1,(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$.

• Product

1. If $u \in \mathcal{S}_\gamma$ and $f_1, \dots, f_m \in L^{(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$, then $f_1 \cdots f_m e^{u-K(u)} \in L^\alpha(\gamma)$ for some $\alpha > 1$, hence it is in $L^{(\cosh-1)*}(\gamma)$.
2. If $u \in \mathcal{S}_\gamma \cap C_0^{1,(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$ and $f \in C_0^{1,(\cosh-1)}(\gamma)$, then

$$f e^{u-K(u)} \in W^{1,(\cosh-1)*}(\gamma) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

and its distributional partial derivatives are $(\partial_j f + f \partial_j u) e^{u-K(u)}$

- M. R. Grasselli. Dual connections in nonparametric classical information geometry. *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.*, 62(5):873–896, 2010
- B. Lods and G. Pistone. Information geometry formalism for the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation. *Entropy*, 17(6):4323–4363, 2015
- G. Pistone. Information geometry of the Gaussian space. arXiv:1803.08135, 2018