Probability 2017 5

Giovanni Pistone



April 10, 2017; revised

Martingale convergence: example I

- On the probability space (Ω, F, μ) let X₁, X₂,... be IID with P (X₁ = 0) = P (X₁ = 2) = 1/2.
- Define $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ Because of $E(Y_n) = 1$ and the independence, $Y_n = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a martingale for the filtration $(F_n)_{n\mathbb{N}}$.
- Define $\Omega_0 = \{X_n = 2 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, so that $P(\Omega_0) = 0$. For each $\omega \notin \Omega_0$ the sequence $Y_n(\omega)$, n = 1, 2, ... is eventually 0, hence $\lim_{n \to \infty} Y_n = Y_{\infty} = 0$ a.s.
- However,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathsf{E}\left(|Y_n-Y_\infty|\right) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathsf{E}\left(Y_n\right) = 1 \neq 0 \; .$$

Martingale convergence: example II

On the filtered probability space (Ω, F, μ, (F_t)_{t∈Z≥}), consider a square-integrable random variable X and the martingale X_t = E (X|F_t). It follows from Jensen inequality

$$\mathsf{E}\left(X_{t}^{2}\right) = \mathsf{E}\left(\mathsf{E}\left(X|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)^{2}\right) \leq \mathsf{E}\left(\mathsf{E}\left(X^{2}|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)\right) = \mathsf{E}\left(X^{2}\right) \;.$$

We have

$$E(X_t^2) = E(X_0^2) + \sum_{s=1}^t E((X_s - X_{s-1})^2) \le E(X^2)$$
,

hence the positive series $\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \mathsf{E}\left((X_s - X_{s-1})^2\right)$ is convergent.

$$\mathsf{E}\left((X_{t_2} - X_{t_1})^2\right) = \sum_{s=t_1+1}^{t_2} \mathsf{E}\left((X_s - X_{s-1})^2\right)$$

and $\lim_{n\to\infty} X_t = X_\infty$ in L^2 -norm exists.

 Ch 12 of D. Williams. Probability with martingales. Cambridge Mathematical Textbooks. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991

Martingale convergence: example II - bis

We have

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{E}\left((\mathsf{E}\left(X_{\infty}-X|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)\right)^{2}\right) &= \\ \mathsf{E}\left((\mathsf{E}\left(X_{\infty}|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)-X_{t}\right)^{2}\right) &= \mathsf{E}\left((\mathsf{E}\left(X_{\infty}-X_{t}|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)\right)^{2}\right) \leq \\ \mathsf{E}\left((X_{\infty}-X_{t})^{2}\right) \to 0 \end{split}$$

hence $E(X_{\infty} - X | \mathcal{F}_t) = 0$ and $E(X_{\infty} | \mathcal{F}_t) = X_t$.

- Let $\mathcal{F}_{\infty} = \sigma(\mathcal{F}_t \colon t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq})$. \mathcal{F}_{∞} is generated by the π -system $\cup_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}} \mathcal{F}_t$. It follows that $X_{\infty} = \mathsf{E}(X|\mathcal{F}_{\infty})$.
- It is possible to prove with Borel-Cantelli lemma that $\lim_{n\to\infty} X_t = X_\infty$ a.s.

Uniform integrability

• If $E(|X|) < \infty$, then $\lim_{c \to \infty} E(\mathbf{1}_{|X|>c} |X|) = 0$ by monotone convergence.

Definition

The sequence $(X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly integrable if

$$\lim_{c\to\infty}\sup\left\{\mathsf{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{|X|>c}|X_t|\right)\big|t\in\mathbb{N}\right\}=0.$$

- Example I is not uniformly integrable. $E(\mathbf{1}_{Y_n > c} Y_n)$ is zero if $2^n \leq C$, otherwise is equal to Y_n .
- Example II is uniformly integrable.

$$\mathsf{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{|X_t|>c} \left|X_t\right|\right) \leq c^{-1} \mathsf{E}\left(X_t^2\right) \leq c^{-1} \mathsf{E}\left(X^2\right)$$

Uniform integrability and convergence

Theorem

If $\lim_{n\to\infty} X_n = X$ a.s., then the limit holds il L^1 if, and only if, the sequence is uniformly integrable.

 See Ch 13 of D. Williams. Probability with martingales. Cambridge Mathematical Textbooks. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991

Upcrossing

- Consider the discrete trajectory x: 0, 1, ..., N → ℝ and fix two real numbers a < b. There is an upcrossing if there exists two times s < t such that x(s) < a and b < x(t).
- Let us find the maximum number U of upcrossing as follows:
 - wait until the first time *s*₁ when the the trajectory comes below *a* i.e.

$$s_1 = \inf \{s > 0 | x(s) < a\}$$
;

• wait until the first time when the trajectory comes above b i.e.,

$$t_1 = \inf \{s > s_1 | x(s) > b\}$$
;

start again with

$$s_2 = \inf \left\{ s > t_1 | x(s) < a
ight\}$$
 ;

- and so on.
- The number of upcrossing U_N[a, b] is the greatest integer u such that t_u < +∞. It is finite.

Upcrossing inequality

• Let $u = U_N[a, b]$. For each $1 \le j \le u$ we have $x(t_j) - x(s_j) > (b - a)$, hence

$$\sum_{j=1}^{u}(x(t_j)-x(s_j))>(b-a)u$$

• Let us complete the previous sum to a possible $s_{u+1} < N$ adding the corresponding increment

$$\sum_{j=1}^{u} (x(t_j) - x(s_j)) + (x(N) - x(s_{u+1}) > (b-a)u - (x(N) - a)^{-1}$$

If the trajectory is infinite, x: Z_≥ → R, we can define an infinite increasing sequence in Z_> ∪ {∞} such that for each N ∈ N we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty (x(t_j \wedge N) - x(s_j \wedge N)) > (b-a)U_N[a,b] - (x(N)-a)^-$$

lim_{N→∞} U_N[a, b] = U_∞[a, b] is the (possibly infinite) total number of upcrossings.

Upcrossings of an adapted process

Let (X)_{t∈ℤ≥} be a real process adapted to (F_t)_{t∈ℤ≥}. If A is a stopping time and I a real interval, then

$$B = \inf \{t \ge A | X_t \in I\}$$

is a stopping time. In fact

$$\{B = t\} = \cup_{s=0}^{t} \{A = s, B = t\} = \cup_{s=0}^{t} \{A = s\} \cap \{X_t \in I\} \cap_{u=s}^{t-1} \{X_u \notin I\} \in \mathcal{F}_t .$$

• All $S_j \land N$, $T_j \land N$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$ are stopping times and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathsf{E}\left(X_{t_{j} \wedge N} - X_{s_{j} \wedge N}\right) + \mathsf{E}\left((X_{N} - a)^{-}\right) > (b - a) \,\mathsf{E}\left(U_{N}[a, b]\right)$$

Doob a.s. convergence

Theorem

Let $(X)_{t\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}}$ be an integrable real process adapted to $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq}}$ such that

1. For each couple $A \le B$ of bounded stopping times it holds $E(X_B - X_A) \le 0$ i.e., the process is a supermartingale, and

2.
$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{N}} \mathsf{E}(|X_t|) < \infty$$
.

Then

$$\mathsf{E}(U_{\infty}[a,b]) \leq |a| + \sup_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \mathsf{E}(|X_t|)$$
.

In particular, for all a, b the number of upcrossing $U_{\infty}[a, b]$ is finite a.s. so that $\lim_{n\to\infty} X_t$ exists a.s.

Proof.

A real sequence $(x(t))_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq}}$ is confergent to a finite limit if, and only if, the number of upcrossings is finite for each $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ (assumption 1) and $\liminf_{t \to \infty} |x(t)| \neq +\infty$ (assumption 2).