SAMSI 2011-12 UQ Program Methodology Opening Workshop and Tutorials September 7-10, 2011

Hermite polynomial aliasing

Giovanni Pistone giovanni.pistone@gmail.com Collegio Carlo Alberto

> joint work with Claudia Fassino and Eva Riccomagno fassino,riccomagno@dima.unige.it



Sep 8, 2011

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Abstract

Computational methods based on polynomial algebra software such as

 CoCoATeam. CoCoA: a system for doing Computations in Commutative Algebra. Available at cocoa.dima.unige.it, online, 4ti2 team. 4ti2—a software package for algebraic, geometric and combinatorial problems on linear spaces. Available at www.4ti2.de,

have been used in Statistics for Design of Experiments DoE and Statistical Modeling. A recent overview, is

 Paolo Gibilisco, Eva Riccomagno, Maria Piera Rogantin, and Henry P. Wynn, editors. Algebraic and geometric methods in statistics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.

In this approach to DoE the set of design points is described as the solution of a system of polynomial equations and the identification of various classes of models is computed by the use of special bases of the vanishing ideal.

Here we present the first results of a research in progress in which we explore the applicability of these ideas when the defining equations are derived from Hermite polynomials, e.g. the system is

$$x^{3} - 3x = 0$$
, $y^{3} - 3y = 0$, $x^{2} - 1 = y^{2} - 1$

Which polynomials are identified on the 5 points? What is the effect on Gaussian quadrature?

э

Symbolic computations are not efficient, but provide extra insight

Hermite polynomials

• Define $\delta f(x) = xf(x) - f'(x) = -e^{x^2/2} \frac{d}{dx} \left(f(x)e^{-x^2/2} \right)$. If $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$,

$\mathsf{E}\left(g(Z)\delta f(Z)\right)=\mathsf{E}\left(dg(Z)f(Z)\right),$

i.e. δ is the transpose of the derivative w.r.t. the standard Gaussian measure.

• Define
$$H_0 = 1$$
, $H_n(x) = \delta^n 1$, $n > 0$, e.g.

$$H_1(x) = x, H_2(x) = x^2 - 1, H_3(x) = x^3 - 3x, H_4(x) = x^4 - 6x^2 + 3, \dots$$

Properties

- 1. The transposition formula shows that the H_n 's are orthogonal.
- 2. $d\delta \delta d = \mathrm{id}$, $dH_n = nH_{n-1}$, $H_{n+1} = xH_n nH_{n-1}$.

 Paul Malliavin. Integration and probability, volume 157 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. With the collaboration of Hélène Airault, Leslie Kay and Gérard Letac, Edited and translated from the French by Kay. With a foreword by Mark Pinsky

▲□> ▲圖> ▲国> ▲国>

- 22

Zeros of H_n .

Theorem

- 1. Each Hermite polynomial H_n , $n \ge 1$, has n distinct real roots.
- 2. The roots of H_{n+1} are separated by the roots of H_n , $n \ge 1$.

Theorem

1. $H_k H_n = H_{n+k} + \sum_{i=1}^{n \wedge k} \binom{n}{i} \binom{k}{i} i! H_{n+k-2i}, n, k \ge 1.$

2.
$$E(H_n^2(Z)) = n!, n \ge 0.$$

3. If $H_n(x) = 0$, then $H_{n+k}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{n \wedge k} \binom{n}{i} \binom{k}{i} i! H_{n+k-2i}(x) = 0$, $n \ge 1$.

In statistical language, item 3 shows an aliasing relation on the design $\mathcal{D} = \{x : H_n(x) = 0\}.$

 Walter Gautschi. Orthogonal polynomials: computation and approximation. Numerical Mathematics and Scientific Computation. Oxford University Press, New York, 2004. Oxford Science Publications

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Algebraic DoE: basics

Given univariate polynomials f₁(x₁),..., f_m(x_m) ∈ Q[x₁,..., x_m], we consider the design ideal

$$\mathsf{Ideal}\left(f_1(x_1),\ldots,f_m(x_m)\right) = \left\{\sum_{i=1}^m a_i f_i \colon a_i \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1,\ldots,x_m]\right\}.$$

- We assume all zeros to be real and simple; they form the full design \mathcal{D} .
- Two polynomials h, k, are aliased if h k is zero on \mathcal{D} , i.e. if h k belong to the design ideal.
- A fraction is a subset \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{D} . It is obtained by adding new equations g_1, \ldots, g_l , called defining equations, to the design ideal.
- The indicator polynomial F of the fraction \mathcal{F} is a polynomial whose restriction to \mathcal{D} is the indicator function of the fraction.
- The main interest of this setting is the availability of symbolic software for the computation of ideals in the ring Q[x₁,...,x_m], e.g. CoCoA, 4ti2, Maple, Macaulay2, ...

Giovanni Pistone, Eva Riccomagno, and Henry P. Wynn. Algebraic statistics, volume 89 of Monographs on Statistics and Applied Probability. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2001. Computational commutative algebra in statistics.

Gröbner basis, normal form

- A term-order is a total order on terms x^α compatible with the product. Given a term-order, the leading term LT(f) of each polynomial f is defined and each polynomial is an ordered list of coefficients.
- A finite subset $\{g_1, \ldots, g_r\}$ of an ideal I is a Gröbner basis if, and only if, the leading terms $LT(g_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, r$, generate the leading terms of I.

Theorem

- 1. Given a term ordering and an ideal I, a Gröbner basis g_1, \ldots, g_r can be computed by a finite (and highly complex) algorithm.
- 2. For each polynomial f there exist a unique polynomial r such that $f r \in I$ and none of its terms is divided by any $LT(g_i)$'s.
- 3. Such a remainder r is called normal form of f, NF(f) = r.

David Cox, John Little, and Donal O'Shea. Ideals, varieties, and algorithms: An introduction to computational algebraic geometry and commutative algebra. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1997

CoCoA: Indicator polynomial

- $x^3 3x = 0$, $y^3 3y = 0$ is the full design; $x^2 y^2 = 0$ is the generating equation.
- $1 f = h(x^2 y^2)$ means 1 = f if the generating equation holds.
- $f(x^2 y^2) = 0$ means f = 0 if the generating equation is violated.
- We compute the *h*-elimination ideal $I \cap \mathbb{Q}[f, y, x]$ of $I = \text{Ideal}(x^3 3x, y^3 3y, 1 f h(x^2 y^2), f(x^2 y^2)).$

produces

$$x^3 - 3x, y^3 - 3y, \quad f - 2/9y^2x^2 + 1/3y^2 + 1/3x^2 - 1$$

where the last equation is the indicator polynomial. $(\Box \rightarrow \langle \overline{\sigma} \rangle \land \overline{z}) \land \overline{z} \land \overline{\gamma} \land \mathbb{R}$

Aliasing computation

- The computation of the normal form introduces a notion of confounding. For example from $H_{n+1}(x) = xH_n(x) nH_{n-1}(x)$ and for \equiv meaning equality holds over $\mathcal{D}_n = \{x : H_n(x) = 0\}$, we obtain $H_{n+1}(x) \equiv -nH_{n-1}(x)$.
- In general let $H_{n+k} \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} h_j^{n+k} H_j$ be the representation of H_{n+k} at \mathcal{D}_n . Substituting in the product formula gives

$$\mathsf{NF}(H_{n+k}) \equiv -\sum_{i=1}^{n \wedge k} \binom{n}{i} \binom{k}{i} i! \mathsf{NF}(H_{n+k-2i})$$
$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{n \wedge k} \binom{n}{i} \binom{k}{i} i! \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} h_j^{n+k-2i} H_j$$

Equating coefficients gives a general recursive formula

$$h_j^{n+k} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n \wedge k} \binom{n}{i} \binom{k}{i} i! h_j^{n+k-2i}$$

Expectation and NF

• Let f be a polynomial in one variable with real coefficients and by polynomial division $f(x) = q(x)H_n(x) + r(x)$ where r has degree smaller than H_n and r(x) = f(x) on $H_n(x) = 0$. The n - 1 degree polynomial r is the remainder or normal form NF(f) = r.

• Then

$$E(f(Z)) = E(q(Z)H_n(Z)) + E(r(Z))$$

= E(q(Z) $\delta 1^n$) + E(r(Z))
= E(dⁿq(Z)) + E(r(Z)) = E(r(Z)) iff E(dⁿq(Z)) = 0.

• Note that $d^n q(Z) = 0$ if and only if q has degree smaller than n and this is only if f has degree smaller or equal to 2n - 1. But also

$$\mathsf{E}(d^{n}q(Z)) = \mathsf{E}\left(d^{n}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}c_{i}(q)H_{i}\right)$$
$$= \langle H_{n}, \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}c_{i}(q)H_{i}\rangle = n!c_{n}(q) = 0$$

iff $c_n(q) = 0$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Gaussian quadrature

- For k = 1,..., n and x₁,..., x_n ∈ ℝ pairwise distinct, define the Lagrange polynomials l_k(x) = ∏_{i:i≠k} x-x_i/x_{k-x_i}. These are indicator polynomial functions of degree n − 1, namely l_k(x_i) = δ_{ik}, and form a ℝ-vector space basis of the set of polynomials of degree at most (n − 1), ℝ_{n−1}.
- If r has degree smaller than n then $r(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} r(x_k) I_k(x)$ and for $\lambda_k = \mathsf{E}(I_k(Z))$ by linearity $\mathsf{E}(r(Z)) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} r(x_k) \mathsf{E}(I_k(Z)) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} r(x_k) \lambda_k.$

• Putting all together, on $\mathcal{D}_n = \{x : H_n(x) = 0\} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and for f polynomial of degree at most (2n - 1) or s.t. $c_n(\frac{f-r}{H_n}) = 0$,

$$E(f(Z)) = E(r(Z)) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} r(x_k) E(I_k(Z))$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(x_k) E(I_k(Z)) = E_n(f(X)),$$

where $P_n(X = x_k) = E(I_k(Z)) = \lambda_k$ is a probability on \mathcal{D} .

Algebraic computation of the weights λ_k Theorem

Let λ be the polynomial of degree n-1 such that $\lambda(x_k) = \lambda_k$ then

$$\lambda(x)H_{n-1}^2(x) = \frac{(n-1)!}{n}$$
 on $H_n = 0$.

• E.g. for *n* = 3

$$0 = H_3(x) = x^3 - 3x$$

2/3 = $\lambda(x)H_2^2 = (\theta_0 + \theta_1 x + \theta_2 x^2)(x^2 - 1)^2$

reduce degree using $x^3 = 3x$ and equate coefficients to obtain

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{2}{3} - \frac{x^2}{6}$$

Evaluate to find $\lambda_{-\sqrt{3}} = \lambda(-\sqrt{3}) = \frac{1}{6} = \lambda_{\sqrt{3}}$ and $\lambda_0 = \lambda(0) = \frac{2}{3}$.

 The roots of H_n, n > 2, are not in Q. Computer algebra systems work with rational fields. Working with algebraic extensions of fields could be slow.

■ のへで

• Sometimes there is no need to compute explicitly the weights.

A CoCoA code for the weighing polynomial

```
N:=4; -- number of nodes
Use R::=Q[w,h[1..(N-1)]], Elim(w); -- setting up the ring
Eqs:=[h[2]-h[1]*h[1]+1]; -- the Hermite pols
For I:=3 To N-1 Do
Append(Eqs,h[I]-h[1]*h[I-1]+(I-1)*h[I-2]) EndFor;
Append(Eqs,h[1]*h[N-1]-(N-1)*h[N-2]); -- the nodes
Set Indentation;
Append(Eqs,N*w*h[N-1]^2-Fact(N-1)); -- the weight poly
J:=Ideal(Eqs); GB_J:=GBasis(J); -- the game
Last(GB_J);
```

The output is 3w + 1/4h[2] - 5/4. Hence, $w(x) = \frac{5-h^2}{12} = \frac{6-x^2}{12}$ and for $H_4(x) = x^4 - 6x^2 + 3 = 0$,

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} x & -\sqrt{3-\sqrt{6}} & -\sqrt{3+\sqrt{6}} & \sqrt{3-\sqrt{6}} & \sqrt{3+\sqrt{6}} \\ w(x) & \frac{3+\sqrt{6}}{12} & \frac{3-\sqrt{6}}{12} & \frac{3+\sqrt{6}}{12} & \frac{31\sqrt{6}}{12} \end{array}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○○

NF and orthogonal projection

Remark

1. Let f(x) be a polynomial and $f(x) = q(x)H_n(x) + r(x)$ where q, r are unique with r of degree less than n. Let $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. Then q is a polynomial such that

$$\mathsf{E}\left((f(Z)-q(Z)H_n(Z))H_m(Z)\right)=0, \quad m\geq n$$

2. Can be generalized for general fractions, i.e.

$$f = \sum_{i} q_{i}g_{i} + \mathsf{NF}(f) \quad g_{i}$$
 Gröbner basis

- r has degree at most n-1, then $r(x) \in \text{Span}(H_0, H_1, \dots, H_{n-1})$. In particular r is orthogonal to H_m for all $m \ge n$.
- Let there exist q_1 and q_2 distinct such that $f q_1H_n \perp H_m$ and $f q_2H_n \perp H_m$ for all $m \ge n$. Now $(q_1 q_2)H_n$ is 0 or has degree not smaller than n. Furthermore it is orthogonal to H_m for all $m \ge n$. Necessarily it is 0, equivalently $q_1 = q_2$.

Fractions: $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{D}_n$, $\#\mathcal{F} = m < n$

- If the Gaussian integration is performed on the fraction, a conditional expectation is obtained and the integration formula is correct only in the special case of no correlation between the random variable and the fraction.
- Let 1_F(x) be the polynomial of degree n such that 1_F(x) = 1 if x ∈ F and 0 if x ∈ D_n \ F and let f be polynomial of degree at most n − 1 and let Z ~ N(0, 1). Then for P_n(X = x_k) = λ_k

$$E((f1_{\mathcal{F}})(Z)) = \sum_{x_k \in \mathcal{F}} f(x_k)\lambda_k$$

= E_n (f(X)1_{\mathcal{F}}(X))
= E_n (f(X)|X \in \mathcal{F}) P_n(X \in \mathcal{F})

• A better approach computes the correct weights. This can be done in a symbolic way.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで

Weights from the normal form

- The generating equation is $\omega_{\mathcal{F}}(x) = \prod_{x_k \in \mathcal{F}} (x x_k) = \sum_{i=0}^m c_i H_i(x).$
- The Lagrange polynomials for \mathcal{F} are $l_k^{\mathcal{F}}(x) = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{F}, i \neq k} \frac{x x_i}{x_k x_i}$ = NF($l_k(x)$, Ideal($\omega_{\mathcal{F}}(x)$). For f a polynomial of degree N, write $f(x) = q(x)\omega_{\mathcal{F}}(x) + r(x)$ with $f(x_i) = r(x_i)$ on \mathcal{F} and

$$f(x) = q(x)\omega_{\mathcal{F}}(x) + r(x) \text{ with } f(x_i) = r(x_i) \text{ on } \mathcal{F} \text{ and}$$

$$r(x) = \sum_{x_k \in \mathcal{F}} f(x_k) l_k^{\mathcal{F}}(x).$$

• Let
$$q(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-m} b_j H_j(x)$$
.

$$\mathsf{E}(f(Z)) = \mathsf{E}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{N-m} b_j H_j(Z) \sum_{i=0}^m c_i H_i(Z)\right) + \mathsf{E}(r(Z))$$

= $b_0 c_0 + b_1 c_1 + \ldots + ((N-m) \wedge m)! b_{(N-m) \wedge m} c_{(N-m) \wedge m} + \sum_{x_k \in \mathcal{F}} f(x_k) \lambda_k^{\mathcal{F}},$

where $\lambda_k^{\mathcal{F}} = \mathsf{E}(\mathsf{NF}(I_k(x), \mathsf{Ideal}(\omega_{\mathcal{F}}(x)))).$

Generic design

Claudia Fassino and Eva Riccomagno (2011 in progress) have considered a different approach. Instead of a subset of the multivariate grid of the roots of Hermite polynomials, they take a generic design. An application of a modified Buchberger-Möller algorithm produces a representation of the design ideal, of the quotient space, indicator functions, and interpolation of a generic function on the design in terms of Hermite polynomials.

The Hermite Buchberger-Möller algorithm HBM

Input A design \mathcal{D} and a term ordering σ .

Output A set *HGB* of polynomials and a set *HQB* of Hermite monomials.

Theorem

The HBM algorithm produces a set HQB of Hermite monomials which is a basis of the quotient space and a polynomial set HGB which is the σ -Gröbner basis of the design ideal $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{D})$ expressed by the Hermite monomials.

Discussion and references

- The algebraic approach has produced interesting results in the classical theory of design. Its application to designs associated to roots of Hermite polynomials seems promising.
- General designs can also be considered with the use of Hermite monomials in place of standard monomials, in view of the computation of Gaussian expectation instead of uniform expectation. This is of interest in view to applications to the propagation of uncertainty in computer models.
- Extensive experiments on the feasibility of the multivariate case remains to be done. This issue is critical, in view of the low efficiency of symbolic computations.
- This research started in 2010. Previous and more extended presentations of the current state are
 - Eva Riccomagno. Orthogonal polynomial aliasing in gaussian quadrature. http://matematicas.unev.es/~ojedamc/jarandilla10/talks/riccomagno.pdf, 2010. Invited talk at TORIC GEOMETRY SEMINAR 2010 (Combinatorial Commutative Algebra, Optimization and Statistics) JARANDILLA DE LA VERA (CÁCERES, SPAIN)
 - Claudia Fassino. Buchberger-möller algorithm and Hermite polynomials. http://www.dima.unige.it/~riccomag/smas/smas2011/Slow_11_Claudia.pdf, 2011. Invited talk for the second SLOW MORNING IN ALGEBRAIC STATISTICS, DIMA Università di Genova, March 15th, 2011