

# Steering Workshop 2020

## STATISTICS AND INNOVATION FOR INDUSTRY 4.0

### Poster: Empirical variograms

Giovanni Pistone

de Castro Statistics, Collegio Carlo Alberto, Torino

Florence, February 20–21, 2020

web-page: [www.giannidioresestino.it](http://www.giannidioresestino.it)  
e-mail: [giovanni.pistone@carloalberto.org](mailto:giovanni.pistone@carloalberto.org)

- Consider a response surface on a given real domain  $D$  (usually a rectangle). A measurement is available at each testing points  $x \in D$ . We want to assess the conformity of the shape of the response surface to some standard. For example: “is the surface bended in some direction?” Or: “Is there a waviness of a type associate to a specific technology?” These are possible defects that cannot be specified in a parametric way.
- A very popular modeling method relies on the assumption that the surface under study is the realization of a random field, for example, a Gaussian random field  $(\zeta_x)_{x \in D}$ . In such a case, the observed characteristics of the surface will in fact depend on the auto-covariance of the random field.
- More specifically, under the intrinsic stationarity assumption, the properties of the random surface depend on the random field variogram.
- Grazia Vicario has suggested in to use the variogram as a non-parametric method, without assuming any randomness of the surface, but using instead the idea of a sistematic or random sampling of couples of test points on the given domain.

**Definition** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be independent random variables whose common distribution  $\mu$  is supported by the domain  $D \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $d$  be a distance on  $D$ . Let  $F: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a response function. The empirical variogram  $\gamma$  is defined by

$$\gamma(d(X, Y)) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left( |F(X) - F(Y)|^2 \mid d(X, Y) \right) .$$

Here are some immediate **properties** of the empirical variogram.

1. If  $F$  is constant, then  $\gamma = 0$ .
2. The variogram depends quadratically on the gradient  $\nabla F$ . In fact,

$$F(v + u) - F(v) = \int_0^1 \nabla F(v + \theta u) \cdot u \, d\theta .$$

3. If  $D = ]0, 1[$  and  $X, Y$  are uniform,

$$\gamma(t) = \frac{1}{2(1-t)} \int_0^{1-t} (F(s) - F(s+t))^2 \, ds .$$

4. If  $F$  is linear,  $F(x) = a \cdot x$ , then  $|F(v + u) - F(v)|^2 = (a \cdot u)^2$  and the defining equation becomes

$$\int \Phi(t) \gamma(t) \nu(dt) = \int_D \Phi(\|u\|) \frac{1}{2} (a \cdot u)^2 \, dudv .$$

5. If  $F$  is Lipschitz, we can derive an upper bound for the variogram. In fact,

$$|F(v + u) - F(v)|^2 \leq \|F\|_{\text{Lip}}^2 \|u\|^2 ,$$

implies

$$\gamma(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|F\|_{\text{Lip}}^2 t^2 .$$