

Probability 2018

2

Giovanni Pistone

www.giannidiorestino.it



DE CASTRO
STATISTICS

Collegio Carlo Alberto

Version February 19, 2018

Simple functions

Let (S, \mathcal{F}) be a measurable space.

Definition

A measurable real function, $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f^{-1}: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, is **simple** if it takes a finite number of values; equivalently, it is of the form $f = \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \mathbf{1}_{A_k}$, $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$, $A_k \in \mathcal{F}$, $k = 1, \dots, m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The algebra with unity of all simple functions is denoted by \mathcal{S} ; the cone of all non-negative simple function is denoted by \mathcal{S}_+ .

- Both \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}_+ are closed for \vee and \wedge ; $f = f^+ - f^-$, $f \in \mathcal{S}$.
- If f is measurable and non-negative, there exist an increasing sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{S}_+ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(s) = f(s)$, $s \in S$.
- If f is measurable and bounded, there exist an sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{S} such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f$ uniformly.

Integral of a non-negative function

Let (S, \mathcal{F}, μ) be a measure space.

Definition

- If $f \in \mathcal{S}$, $f = \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \mathbf{1}_{A_k}$, we define its **integral** to be

$$\int f \, d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \mu(A_k) \quad \text{where } 0 \cdot \infty = \infty \cdot 0 = 0$$

- If $f: S \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is measurable, namely $f \in \mathcal{L}_+$, we define its **integral** to be

$$\int f \, d\mu = \sup \left\{ \int h \, d\mu \mid h \in \mathcal{S}_+, h \leq f \right\}$$


- The integral is linear and monotone on $\mathcal{S}^1 = \{f \in \mathcal{S} \mid \int f^+ \, d\mu, \int f^- \, d\mu \leq \infty\}$. The integral is convex and monotone on \mathcal{L}_+ .
- If $f \in \mathcal{L}_+$ and $\int f \, d\mu = 0$, then $\mu\{f > 0\} = 0$.

Monotone-Convergence Theorem

Theorem (MON)

let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a non-decreasing sequence in \mathcal{L}_+ . Then the pointwise limit $f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$ belongs to \mathcal{L}_+ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\mu = \int f d\mu$.

- A sequence of simple functions converging to f is always available.

- If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $f, g \in \mathcal{L}_+$, then :

$$\int (\alpha f + \beta g) d\mu = \int \alpha f d\mu + \int \beta g d\mu$$

- Exercise: If $\mu(S) = 1$, then $\int f d\mu = \int_0^\infty \mu\{f > u\} du$.

Proof of MON: Appendix A5 of D. Williams. *Probability with martingales*. Cambridge Mathematical Textbooks. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991; Bertrand Lods *Lecture Notes*.

Fatou Lemmas

Theorem (FATOU)

Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{L}_+ .

1. $\int (\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n) d\mu \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\mu.$
2. If, moreover, $f_n \leq g$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\int g d\mu < \infty$, then $\int (\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n) d\mu \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\mu.$

- Exercise: Prove 1. by observing that \limsup is the limit of an increasing sequence.
- Exercise: If $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a decreasing sequence in \mathcal{L}_+ and $\int f_1 d\mu < \infty$, then $\int (\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n) d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\mu.$
- Exercise: If $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in \mathcal{L}_+ and $f_n \leq g$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\int g d\mu < \infty$, then $\int (\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n) d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\mu.$

Integrability

Definition

- Let \mathcal{L}^1 be the vector space of measurable real functions such that

$$\int |f| d\mu = \int f^+ d\mu + \int f^- d\mu < \infty$$

- Define the integral to be the linear mapping

$$\mathcal{L}^1 \ni f \mapsto \int f d\mu = \int f^+ d\mu - \int f^- d\mu \in \mathbb{R}$$

- Assignment: Revise L^1 -convergence and Dominated Convergence Theorem in Ch 5 of D. Williams. *Probability with martingales*. Cambridge Mathematical Textbooks. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991 or Bertrand Lods *Lecture Notes*.

Expectation

- Let $\mathbb{E}: \mathcal{L}^\infty(S, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that
 - $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}) = 1$.
 - \mathbb{E} is linear and positive (hence monotone).
 - \mathbb{E} is continuous on non-increasing sequence converging to 0.
- Every such \mathbb{E} defines a probability measure when restricted to indicators, $P(A) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_A)$ and $\mathbb{E}(f) = \int f dP$
- A similar observation holds for a $\mathbb{E}: \mathcal{L}_+(S, \mathcal{S})$.
- If $f \in \mathcal{L}(S, \mathcal{L})$, as $f = f_+ - f_-$ and $|f| = f_+ + f_-$, if $\mathbb{E}(|f|) < \infty$ then $\mathbb{E}(f_+), \mathbb{E}(f_-) < \infty$. In such a case, we say that $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(S, \mathcal{S}, P)$ and define $\mathbb{E}(f) = \mathbb{E}(f_+) - \mathbb{E}(f_-)$.
- $\mathbb{E}: \mathcal{L}^1(S, \mathcal{S}, P) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is positive, linear, normalized, continuous for the bounded pointwise convergence.
- **Assignment:** carefully check everything!

Densities

Let be given a measure space (S, \mathcal{F}, μ) and a measurable non-negative mapping $p: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\int p \, d\mu < \infty$.

The set function

$$p \cdot \mu: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \quad A \mapsto \int \mathbf{1}_A p \, d\mu$$

is a bounded measure. In fact: $p \cdot \mu(\emptyset) = \int 0 \, d\mu = 0$; given a sequence $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of disjoint events, then MON implies

$$\begin{aligned} p \cdot \mu(\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n) &= \int \mathbf{1}_{\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n} p \, d\mu = \int \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{1}_{A_n} p \, d\mu = \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int \mathbf{1}_{A_n} p \, d\mu = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} p \cdot \mu(A_n) \end{aligned}$$

Exercise: If $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable and $fp \in \mathcal{L}^1(S, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$, then $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(S, \mathcal{F}, p \cdot \mu)$ and $\int f \, d(p \cdot \mu) = \int fp \, d\mu$. [Hint: try first simple functions, then use MON]

Inequalities I

- Expectation is a positive operator, hence it preserves the order. Most common application is a family of inequalities whose simplest form is **Markov inequality**: If $x \geq 0$ and $a > 0$, then $\mathbf{1}_{[a, +\infty[} \leq a^{-1}x$. It follows that for each non-negative random variable X we have $P(X \geq a) \leq a^{-1} \mathbb{E}(X)$.
- The previous inequality can be optimised to get, for example, the **exponential Markov inequality**. Observe that for all $t > 0$ it holds $\{X \geq a\} = \{e^{tX} \geq e^{ta}\}$. It follows that

$$P(X \geq a) \leq e^{-ta} \mathbb{E}(e^{tX}) = \exp(- (ta - \log \mathbb{E}(e^{tX}))).$$

If $I(a) = \sup_{t>0} (ta - \log \mathbb{E}(e^{tX}))$, then $\log P(X \geq a) \leq -I(a)$.

- **Jensen inequality**: Let $\Phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be convex with proper domain D . Assume X is an integrable random variable such that $\Phi(X)$ is integrable. Then $\Phi(\mathbb{E}(X)) \leq \mathbb{E}(\Phi \circ X)$. In fact, for each $x_0 \in D$ there is an affine function such that $\Phi(x_0) + b(x_0)(x - x_0) \leq \Phi(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. It follows that $\Phi(x_0) + b(x_0)(\mathbb{E}(X) - x_0) \leq \mathbb{E}(\Phi \circ X)$. In particular, Jensen inequality follows if $x_0 = \mathbb{E}(X)$.

Inequalities II

- **Fenchel's inequality:** Given the convex function Φ , there exists a convex function Ψ such that $\Psi(y) = \sup_x (xy - \Phi(x))$. In particular, the inequality $xy \leq \Phi(x) + \Psi(y)$ holds for all x, y . It follows that $\mathbb{E}(XY) \leq \mathbb{E}(\Phi \circ X) + \mathbb{E}(\Psi \circ Y)$ if all terms are well defined.
- An important example of Fenchel inequality follows from $xy \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} |x|^\alpha + \frac{1}{\beta} |y|^\beta$, where $\alpha, \beta > 1$ and $\alpha^{-1} + \beta^{-1} = 1$. The integral inequality is $\mathbb{E}(XY) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \mathbb{E}(|X|^\alpha) + \frac{1}{\beta} \mathbb{E}(|Y|^\beta)$.
- For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $q > 0$ and have $xq \leq e^x - 1 + q \log q$. If f is a random variable and q is a probability density w.r.t. μ , then $\int f q d\mu \leq \int e^f d\mu - 1 + \int q \log q d\mu$.
- **Lebesgue space:** For each $\alpha \geq 1$, define

$$\mathcal{L}^\alpha = \{X \in \mathcal{L} \mid \mathbb{E}(|X|^\alpha) < \infty\} .$$

Define

$$X \mapsto (\mathbb{E}(|X|^\alpha))^{1/\alpha} = \|X\|_\alpha$$

Inequalities III

- **Hölder inequality.** Apply Fenchel inequality to $f = X/\|X\|_\alpha$ and $g = Y/\|Y\|_\beta$. It follows

$$\mathbb{E}(fg) = \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{X}{\|X\|_\alpha} \frac{Y}{\|Y\|_\beta}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} = 1.$$

It follows that $\mathbb{E}(XY) \leq \|X\|_\alpha \|Y\|_\beta$.

- **Minkowski inequality.** Apply Hölder inequality to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(|X + Y|^\alpha) &= \mathbb{E}\left(|X + Y| |X + Y|^{\alpha-1}\right) \leq \\ &\mathbb{E}\left(|X| |X + Y|^{\alpha-1}\right) + \mathbb{E}\left(|Y| |X + Y|^{\alpha-1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

to get $\|X + Y\|_\alpha \leq \|X\|_\alpha + \|Y\|_\alpha$.

Change of variable formula

Let be given a measure space (S, \mathcal{F}, μ) , a measurable space $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{G})$ and a measurable mapping $\phi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$, $\phi^{-1}: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$. Let $\phi_{\#}\mu = \mu \circ \phi^{-1}$ be the push-forward measure.

- If $h \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{G})$ i.e. $h = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \mathbf{1}_{B_k}$, $b_k \in \mathbb{R}$, $B_k \in \mathcal{G}$, $k = 1, \dots, n$.
Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int h \, d\phi_{\#}\mu &= \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \phi_{\#}\mu(B_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \mu[\phi^{-1}(B_k)] = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \int \mathbf{1}_{B_k} \circ \phi \, d\mu = \int h \circ \phi \, d\mu \end{aligned}$$

- If $f \in \mathcal{L}_+$, then MON implies $\int f \, d\phi_{\#}\mu = \int f \circ \phi \, d\mu$
- If $f: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable and $f \circ \phi \in \mathcal{L}^1(S, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ then $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{G}, \phi_{\#}\mu)$ and $\int f \, d\phi_{\#}\mu = \int f \circ \phi \, d\mu$.

Product measure I

Definition

Given measure spaces $(S_i, \mathcal{F}_i, \mu_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, $n = 2, 3, \dots$, the product measure space is

$$(S, \mathcal{F}, \mu) = \otimes_{i=1}^n (S_i, \mathcal{F}_i, \mu_i) = (\times_{i=1}^n S_i, \otimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{F}_i, \otimes_{i=1}^n \mu_i),$$

where

$$\mathcal{F} = \otimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{F}_i = \sigma \{ \times_{i=1}^n A_i \mid A_i \in \mathcal{F}_i, i = 1, \dots, n \}$$

and $\mu = \otimes_{i=1}^n \mu_i$ is the unique measure on $(\times_{i=1}^n S_i, \otimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{F}_i)$ such that

$$\mu(\times_{i=1}^n A_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n \mu_i(A_i), \quad A_i \in \mathcal{F}_i, i = 1, \dots, n.$$

- Let $X_i: S \mapsto S_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, be the projections. Then $\otimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{F}_i = \sigma \{ X_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n \}$.
- Examples: Counting measure on \mathbb{N}^2 , Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^2 , the finite Bernoulli scheme.
- Product measure of probability measures is a probability measure.

Product measure II

Recall all measures are σ -finite. Assume $n = 2$.

Sections

If $C \in \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$, then for each $x_1 \in S_1$ the set $\{x_2 \in S_2 \mid (x_1, x_2) \in C\}$ belongs to \mathcal{F}_2 .

Proof.

Let \mathcal{O} be the family of all subsets of S for which the proposition is true. \mathcal{O} is a σ -algebra that contains all the measurable rectangles, hence $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{O}$. □

Partial integration

The mapping $S_1: x_1 \mapsto \mu_2 \{x_2 \in S_2 \mid (x_1, x_2) \in C\}$ is non-negative and \mathcal{F}_1 -measurable.

Proof.

If $C \in \mathcal{F}$ then the function is well defined. The set of all $C \in \mathcal{F}$ such that the function is measurable contains measurable rectangles, is a π -system, and is a d -system. □

Product measure III

Product measure: existence

The set function $\mu: \mathcal{F} \ni C \mapsto \int \mu_2 \{x_2 \in S_2 | (x_1, x_2) \in C\} \mu_1(dx_1 - 1)$ is a measure such that $\mu(A_1 \times A_2) = \mu_1(A_1)\mu_2(A_2)$ on measurable rectangles. Hence, $\mu = \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$.

Proof.

The integral exists because the integrand is non-negative. $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$; if $(C_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in \mathcal{F} of disjoint events, then for all $x_1 \in S_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_2 \{x_2 \in S_2 | (x_1, x_2) \in \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_n\} &= \mu_2 (\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{x_2 \in S_2 | (x_1, x_2) \in C_n\}) = \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_2 \{x_2 \in S_2 | (x_1, x_2) \in C_n\} \end{aligned}$$

MON implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mu (\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_n) &= \int \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_2 \{x_2 \in S_2 | (x_1, x_2) \in C_n\} \mu_1(dx_1) = \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int \mu_2 \{x_2 \in S_2 | (x_1, x_2) \in C_n\} \mu_1(dx_1) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(C_n) \end{aligned}$$

Product measure IV

- Consider $n = 3$. The product measure space

$$\otimes_{i=1}^3 (S_i, \mathcal{F}_i, \mu_i) = (\times_{i=1}^n S_i, \otimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{F}_i, \otimes_{i=1}^n \mu_i)$$

is identified with

$$(S_1 \times S_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2, \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2) \otimes (S_3, \mathcal{F}_3, (\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2) \otimes \mu_3)$$

One has to check that

$$(\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2) \otimes \mathcal{F}_3 = \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \mathcal{F}_3$$

- The $n = \infty$ case requires Carathéodory. See the Bernoulli scheme example.

Fubini theorem I

Section

Let $f: S_1 \times S_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ measurable. For all $x_1 \in S_1$ the function $f_{x_1}: x_2 \mapsto f(x_1, x_2)$ is \mathcal{F}_2 -measurable.

Proof.

For each $y \in \mathbb{R}$, consider the level set

$C = \{(x_1, x_2) \mid f(x_1, x_2) \leq y\} \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$. The set $\{x_2 \mid f_{x_1}(x_2) \leq y\}$ is the x_1 -section of C . □

Theorem (Non-negative integrand)

Let $f: S_1 \times S_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ -measurable and non-negative. Then the mapping $S_1 \ni x_1 \mapsto \int f(x_1, x_2) \mu_2(dx_2)$ is \mathcal{F}_1 -measurable and

$$\int f \, d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 = \int \left(\int f(x_1, x_2) \mu_2(dx_2) \right) \mu_1(dx_1)$$

Fubini theorem II

Theorem (Integrable integrand)

Let $f: S_1 \times S_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$ -integrable. Then the mapping $S_1 \ni x_1 \mapsto \int f(x_1, x_2) \mu_2(dx_2)$ is μ_1 -integrable and

$$\int f d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 = \int \left(\int f(x_1, x_2) \mu_2(dx_2) \right) \mu_1(dx_1)$$

Proof: Non-negative integrand.

Choose an increasing sequence of simple non-negative functions converging to f and use MON. □

Proof: Integrable integrand.

Decompose $f = f^+ - f^-$ and use the previous form of the theorem. □

Independence

Definition

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a probability space.

1. The sub- σ -algebras $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_n$ are independent if $A_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, implies $\mu(A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n) = \mu(A_1) \cdots \mu(A_n)$.
2. The random variables $X_i: \Omega \rightarrow S_i$, $X_i^{-1}: \mathcal{G}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, are independent, if

$$(X_1, \dots, X_n)_{\#}\mu = (X_1)_{\#}\mu \otimes \dots \otimes (X_n)_{\#}\mu$$

If $\mathcal{F}_i = \sigma(X_i)$, the 1. and 2. are equivalent. If $A_i = X_i^{-1}(B_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n) &= \mu(X_1^{-1}(B_1) \cap \dots \cap X_n^{-1}(B_n)) = \\ \mu((X_1, \dots, X_n)^{-1}(B_1 \times \dots \times B_n)) &= (X_1, \dots, X_n)_{\#}\mu(B_1 \times \dots \times B_n) = \\ (X_1)_{\#}\mu \otimes \dots \otimes (X_n)_{\#}\mu(B_1 \times \dots \times B_n) &= (X_1)_{\#}\mu(B_1) \cdots (X_n)_{\#}\mu(B_n) = \\ \mu(X_1^{-1}(B_1)) \cdots \mu(X_n^{-1}(B_n)) &= \mu(A_1) \cdots \mu(A_n) \end{aligned}$$